



U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Application Number	Filing Date	First Named Applicant	Attorney Docket Number
10/007,272	10/29/01	Glover	PU3126US2

Examiner
L. E. Crane

Art Unit	Paper No.
1623	11

DATE MAILED: n/a

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel)

(1) Lorie Ann Morgan Esq.

(3) --

(2) Examiner L. E. Crane

(4) --

Date of Interview: 02/19/2004

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy given to) ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: See attachment.

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some of all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached

Claim(s) discussed: See page 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: See page 2.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: See p. 2.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would be allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 713.04) If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, the completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.
PTOL-413 (amended 03/13/01)

10/007,272 - P. N. 11

COPY FOR ☒ File ☐ Applicant

Continued on next page(s) -->>

Art Unit 1623

INTERVIEW SUMMARY(cont.)

Claims discussed: All remaining of record, claims 11, 14 and 16-21 in particular.

Identification of prior art discussed: '832 Chamberlain et al. patent.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comment: Applicant was queried concerning whether a terminal disclaimer citing the '832 patent would be a step that applicant would be willing to take under the proper circumstances. Applicant's representative replied that in view of the absence of a double patenting rejection such a response would not be appropriate, but that even if such a rejection were made of record, questions of enforcement of the parent patent suggested to applicant that such a path would not be appropriate. For this reason applicant's representative respectfully declined the suggestion.